## Trump's travel ban on Muslims leads to widespread protests, legal action

WASHINGTON, D.C. — Two weeks ago, the Trump administration issued a controversial executive order. It blocked refugees and citizens from seven Muslim nations from entry into the United States. The order caused confusion at airports across the U.S., leading to strong protests and legal action.

The White House defended the order as a success. However, opponents moved rapidly to fight it.

The measure led to the detention of more than 100 people who were in the process of arriving at airports across the country. All were carrying valid entry documents. Meanwhile, lawyers said twice as many travelers from these countries were denied permission to board U.S.-bound flights.

Backlash against the ban grew at airports and cities around the country. Thousands rallied in Los Angeles, California; Washington, D.C., Boston, Massachusetts; and other cities. Despite the seriousness of the ban, the atmosphere at many airport rallies was lively and cheerful. Whenever a detainee was released, he or she was greeted with applause from supporters. In Los Angeles, thousands of protesters chanted "Let them in!" and "Love, not hate, makes America great."

Jacob Kemper, a 35-year-old Army veteran who served two tours in Iraq, held a sign that read, "I Fought Next to Muslims." He said he was infuriated to think soldiers he served alongside might be denied entry to the country. "I really don't care about religion, but I really hate oppression," he said.

## **Democrats To Push To Rescind Executive Order**

Congressional Democrats turned up the pressure. They said they planned to introduce legislation to overturn the order. Senator Charles E. Schumer of New York called the directive "mean-spirited" and "un-American."

Trump had tweeted Sunday saying the U.S. needs "strong borders and extreme vetting, NOW." The president also decried what he called a "horrible mess" regarding immigration in other parts of the world, including Europe.

## Trump's travel ban on Muslims leads to widespread protests, legal action

WASHINGTON, D.C. — Two weeks ago, the Trump administration issued a controversial executive order. It blocked refugees and citizens from seven Muslim nations from entry into the United States. The order caused confusion at airports across the U.S., leading to strong protests and legal action.

The White House defended the order as a success. However, opponents moved rapidly to fight it.

The measure led to the detention of more than 100 people who were in the process of arriving at airports across the country. All were carrying valid entry documents. Meanwhile, lawyers said twice as many travelers from these countries were denied permission to board U.S.-bound flights.

Backlash against the ban grew at airports and cities around the country. Thousands rallied in Los Angeles, California; Washington, D.C., Boston, Massachusetts; and other cities. Despite the seriousness of the ban, the atmosphere at many airport rallies was lively and cheerful. Whenever a detainee was released, he or she was greeted with applause from supporters. In Los Angeles, thousands of protesters chanted "Let them in!" and "Love, not hate, makes America great."

Jacob Kemper, a 35-year-old Army veteran who served two tours in Iraq, held a sign that read, "I Fought Next to Muslims." He said he was infuriated to think soldiers he served alongside might be denied entry to the country. "I really don't care about religion, but I really hate oppression," he said.

## **Democrats To Push To Rescind Executive Order**

Congressional Democrats turned up the pressure. They said they planned to introduce legislation to overturn the order. Senator Charles E. Schumer of New York called the directive "mean-spirited" and "un-American."

Trump had tweeted Sunday saying the U.S. needs "strong borders and extreme vetting, NOW." The president also decried what he called a "horrible mess" regarding immigration in other parts of the world, including Europe.

AOW Response  Write a one-paragraph response to the article. This response is YOUR opinion, but it MUST respond to something					
stated in the article, and it MUST be based on facts and sound reasoning.					
Ideas for how to respond: 1) <b>DISAGREE</b> with something (ex., that we should ban refugees), 2) <b>AGREE</b> with something and provide additional reasoning (ex. we should ban refugees because), 3) write a <b>DEFINITION</b> (ex. Sen. Schumer says the ban is "un-American," so define what it means to be American), or 4) <b>SHARE</b> a personal experience and how it shaped your thinking on the issue.					
AOW Response  Write a one-paragraph response to the article. This response is YOUR opinion, but it MUST respond to something stated in the article, and it MUST be based on facts and sound reasoning.					
Write a one-paragraph response to the article. This response is YOUR opinion, but it MUST respond to something					
Write a one-paragraph response to the article. This response is YOUR opinion, but it MUST respond to something					
Write a one-paragraph response to the article. This response is YOUR opinion, but it MUST respond to something stated in the article, and it MUST be based on facts and sound reasoning.  Ideas for how to respond: 1) <b>DISAGREE</b> with something (ex., that we should ban refugees), 2) <b>AGREE</b> with something and provide additional reasoning (ex. we should ban refugees because), 3) write a <b>DEFINITION</b> (ex. Sen. Schumer says the ban is "un-American," so define what it means to be American), or 4) <b>SHARE</b> a personal					
Write a one-paragraph response to the article. This response is YOUR opinion, but it MUST respond to something stated in the article, and it MUST be based on facts and sound reasoning.  Ideas for how to respond: 1) <b>DISAGREE</b> with something (ex., that we should ban refugees), 2) <b>AGREE</b> with something and provide additional reasoning (ex. we should ban refugees because), 3) write a <b>DEFINITION</b> (ex. Sen. Schumer says the ban is "un-American," so define what it means to be American), or 4) <b>SHARE</b> a personal					
Write a one-paragraph response to the article. This response is YOUR opinion, but it MUST respond to something stated in the article, and it MUST be based on facts and sound reasoning.  Ideas for how to respond: 1) <b>DISAGREE</b> with something (ex., that we should ban refugees), 2) <b>AGREE</b> with something and provide additional reasoning (ex. we should ban refugees because), 3) write a <b>DEFINITION</b> (ex. Sen. Schumer says the ban is "un-American," so define what it means to be American), or 4) <b>SHARE</b> a personal					
Write a one-paragraph response to the article. This response is YOUR opinion, but it MUST respond to something stated in the article, and it MUST be based on facts and sound reasoning.  Ideas for how to respond: 1) <b>DISAGREE</b> with something (ex., that we should ban refugees), 2) <b>AGREE</b> with something and provide additional reasoning (ex. we should ban refugees because), 3) write a <b>DEFINITION</b> (ex. Sen. Schumer says the ban is "un-American," so define what it means to be American), or 4) <b>SHARE</b> a personal					
Write a one-paragraph response to the article. This response is YOUR opinion, but it MUST respond to something stated in the article, and it MUST be based on facts and sound reasoning.  Ideas for how to respond: 1) <b>DISAGREE</b> with something (ex., that we should ban refugees), 2) <b>AGREE</b> with something and provide additional reasoning (ex. we should ban refugees because), 3) write a <b>DEFINITION</b> (ex. Sen. Schumer says the ban is "un-American," so define what it means to be American), or 4) <b>SHARE</b> a personal					
Write a one-paragraph response to the article. This response is YOUR opinion, but it MUST respond to something stated in the article, and it MUST be based on facts and sound reasoning.  Ideas for how to respond: 1) <b>DISAGREE</b> with something (ex., that we should ban refugees), 2) <b>AGREE</b> with something and provide additional reasoning (ex. we should ban refugees because), 3) write a <b>DEFINITION</b> (ex. Sen. Schumer says the ban is "un-American," so define what it means to be American), or 4) <b>SHARE</b> a personal					
Write a one-paragraph response to the article. This response is YOUR opinion, but it MUST respond to something stated in the article, and it MUST be based on facts and sound reasoning.  Ideas for how to respond: 1) <b>DISAGREE</b> with something (ex., that we should ban refugees), 2) <b>AGREE</b> with something and provide additional reasoning (ex. we should ban refugees because), 3) write a <b>DEFINITION</b> (ex. Sen. Schumer says the ban is "un-American," so define what it means to be American), or 4) <b>SHARE</b> a personal					